1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4/23/2012, and Defendant is holding over possession, preventing Plaintiff from possessing its property.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S FIFTH MOTION IN LIMINE

Plaintiff respectfully requests that pursuant to California Evidence Code §§451, 452 and 1330, this Court take judicial notice of records and files, its case file in this matter, including but not limited to the pleadings, and minutes therein and of evidence of a statement contained in a deed of conveyance which affects real property.

In addition to this request being made under Evidence Code Sections 451, 452 and 1330 request for judicial notice is also requested pursuant to Sosinsky v. Grant (1992) 6 Cal. App. 4th 1548 at 1564, which held that the Court may judicially notice both the existence and truth of matters asserted in court orders, conclusions of law and judgments.

Furthermore, pursuant to the recent holding in Fontenot v. Wells Fargo (2011) 198 Cal. App. 4th 256, it is appropriate to take judicial notice of the transfer of beneficial interest to Plaintiff by virtue of the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale and therefore Plaintiff's status as owner is not legitimately in dispute.

Dated: September 11, 2012

Respectfully submitted, McCARTHY & HOLTHYS, LLP

By:

Gavle E. Jameson, E Attorney for Plaintiff.

Féderal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation